

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/691,928

Applicant(s)

GOLDSTEIN ET AL.

Examiner

Nathan W. Schlientz

Art Unit

1616

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathan W. Schlientz.

(3) _____.

(2) Patrea L. Pabst.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Pabst requested the examiner consider the Declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 and 1.132, submitted 14 March 2007, and explain in another action why the instant rejections have not been overcome by these declarations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan W Schlientz/
Examiner, Art Unit 1616

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required